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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Institutions</th>
<th>Key Metrics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Impact of Environmental Interventions on CRE Operations</td>
<td>York University</td>
<td>ENERGY STAR®, LEED, NOI, OpEx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Dynamics of Energy Consumption in Commercial Real Estate</td>
<td>Maastricht University</td>
<td>Building Certification, Energy use,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>University of Guelph</td>
<td>Energy Efficiency, CapEx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect of Energy Benchmarking and Disclosure on Office Building</td>
<td>University of Washington</td>
<td>ENERGY STAR, EUI, Occupancy Rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marketability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimating Office and Residential Building Energy Retrofit Hurdle</td>
<td>NYU, University of Arizona, UNC Chapel Hill,</td>
<td>Energy Savings, CapEx, IRR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rates</td>
<td>Cambridge University</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESG Insights in Public Real Estate Performance</td>
<td>Tilburg University, University of Reading</td>
<td>ESG Ratings, REIT Returns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

• Market-focused summaries of research
• Links to research and resources on similar topics
  • Energy Risk in Commercial Mortgages
  • Appraisal Toolkit
• To learn more, please visit: https://betterbuildingssolutioncenter.energy.gov/alliance/market-solutions/financial-performance
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Overview

FIRM

1993
Year Founded

- Investment grade fixed income manager
- Offices in Boston and San Diego
- 77 Employees and 34 Investment Professionals

OWNERSHIP

100%
Independently Owned

- Committed to independence
- Clients are our top priority

ASSETS

$40+Billion
Assets Under Management

- Customized separate accounts
- Sector-focused and multi-sector strategies

AUM as of December 31, 2019.
See important disclosures on the last page of this presentation
ESG Integration Philosophy

Thoughtful and forward-looking assessment of risk would be incomplete without the inclusion of material ESG factors.

Driven by our research team, Breckinridge integrates material environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues to analyze and assess long-term and idiosyncratic risks, which we feel the market may misprice.
Why ESG in Fixed Income?

Systematic ESG analysis offers deeper insight into the underlying value and risk of an investment.

For investments in high grade debt:

- More emphasis is placed on risk mitigation.
- Investment horizons are longer-term.
What is Environmental, Social and Governance (ESG)?

Examples of Common ESG Factors in the Municipal Market

**ENVIRONMENTAL**
- Climate Change
- Carbon emissions
- Energy efficiency
- Pollution/Waste
- Sustainability/resiliency initiatives

**SOCIAL**
- Diversity/inclusion
- Demographics
- Education
- Affordable housing
- Income inequality
- Healthcare
- Crime

**GOVERNANCE**
- Transparency
- Quality & depth of reporting
- Management policies
- Cyber-risk

See important disclosures on the last page of this presentation
Sample Sector Specific ESG Frameworks and Indicators

**CITY/COUNTY**
- Housing Affordability Index
- Income Inequality
- Climate Risk Preparedness

**SCHOOL DISTRICT**
- Academic Performance Relative to Socioeconomic Status
- Breadth of Sustainability Initiatives
- State support for teachers

**WATER & SEWER**
- Drought Vulnerability
- Rate Design & Management
- Age of Infrastructure

See important disclosures on the last page of this presentation
Municipal: ESG Research Approach

Our ESG integration methodology combines a quantitative assessment of ESG factors alongside a review of qualitative ESG considerations to derive a composite sustainability rating.

Municipal Frameworks
- City/County
- Community/Technical College
- Higher Education
- Hospital
- Public Electric Utility
- School District
- State
- Water/Sewer
- Transportation

Quantitative Assessment
- Environmental
- Social
- Governance

Qualitative Assessment
- Review of a city’s sustainability plan and/or sustainability initiatives
- Assess a university’s commitment to sustainability through AASHE reporting and performance
- Consider a water utility’s conservation and drought planning efforts
- Evaluate a hospital system’s patient satisfaction and population health management efforts

Sustainability Rating (S1-S4)
Municipal Engagement

Our Long-Term Goals

- To gain a better understanding of the credit and ESG profiles of borrowers
- To encourage transparent and standardized reporting of material ESG issues
- To provide an idea generation platform for our analysts

Municipal ESG Engagement Themes

2018
- Reached out to cities to inquire about their sustainability initiatives
- Engaged with more than 25 U.S. cities across 18 states; cities were geographically and demographically diverse

2019
- Thematic engagement focused on:
  - Transportation (Airports) – Infrastructure Resiliency
  - Higher Education – Access and Affordability
  - Cities & Counties – Natural Hazard Mitigation

The engagement process targets specific themes and issuers. As such, not every issuer that is a client holding or on our coverage list will be engaged. See important disclosures on the last page of this presentation.
Disclaimer

This presentation has been prepared for investment professional use only. It is not is intended for general public use.

This presentation contains the opinions and views of Breckinridge Capital Advisors, Inc. All information is current as of the date(s) indicated and is subject to change without notice. Nothing contained herein should be construed or relied upon as tax, legal or financial advice. All investments involve risks, including the loss of principal.

Not all securities or issuers mentioned represent bonds held in any client portfolio. Some securities have been provided for illustrative purposes only and should not be construed as investment recommendations. Any ESG analysis and engagement examples are intended to illustrate a part of Breckinridge’s research process. Breckinridge believes that the assessment of ESG risk can improve credit assessments. However, there is no guarantee that integrating ESG analysis will provide improved risk-adjusted returns or reduced volatility over any specific time period. Additionally, investment strategies that exclude securities based solely on ESG criteria may not provide better risk-adjusted returns than those strategies that do not have such restrictions. Breckinridge’s Sustainability Rating is based on third party objective data and Breckinridge research analysts’ subjective internal analysis. A high sustainability rating does not mean a bond will provide profits or avoid losses.

Any projections, estimates, targets or other forward-looking statements are based on Breckinridge’s research, analysis, and assumptions. There can be no assurances that such projections will occur, and the actual results may differ materially. Other events that were not taken into account in formulating such projections could occur and may significantly affect the outcome, returns or performance of any account.

Past performance is not indicative of future results. Performance results for Breckinridge's investment strategies include the reinvestment of interest and any other earnings, but they may not reflect the impact that any material market or economic factors would have had on the accounts during the time period. Performance results do not reflect any brokerage or trading costs a client would have paid. Due to differences in client restrictions, objectives, cash flows and other such factors, individual client account performance may differ substantially from the performance presented.

Information from companies not affiliated with Breckinridge (“third-party content”) is believed to be reliable but its accuracy or completeness cannot be guaranteed. Investment professionals should conduct independent verification of third-party content prior to use.

Index Results

Index results are shown for illustrative purposes and do not represent the performance of any specific investment. Indices are unmanaged and investors cannot directly invest in them. They do not reflect any management, custody, transaction or other expenses, and generally assume reinvestment of dividends, income and capital gains. Performance of indices may be more or less volatile than any investment strategy. Each of the Bloomberg Barclays (“BBG”) indices has a variety of duration-based components against which we bench our strategies.

The BBG Municipal Bond Index is considered representative of the broad market for investment grade, tax-exempt bonds with a maturity of at least one year.

The BBG U.S. Government/Credit Index measures the performance of investment grade, U.S.-dollar denominated government and corporate bonds with maturities of at least one year.

The BBG Managed Money Short measures the performance of U.S.-dollar denominated short term, tax-exempt bond market.

The S&P 500 Index is a common measure of the broad U.S. equity market and is composed of the top 500 publicly traded U.S. companies. (Source: S&P Dow Jones)

BLOOMBERG® is a trademark and service mark of Bloomberg Finance L.P. and its affiliates (collectively “Bloomberg”). BARCLAYS® is a trademark and service mark of Barclays Bank Plc (collectively with its affiliates, “Barclays”), used under license. Bloomberg or Bloomberg’s licensors, including Barclays, own all proprietary rights in the Bloomberg Barclays Indices. Neither Bloomberg nor Barclays approves or endorses this material, or guarantees the accuracy or completeness of any information herein, or makes any warranty, express or implied, as to the results to be obtained therefrom and, to the maximum extent allowed by law, neither shall have any liability or responsibility for injury or damages arising in connection therewith.
Nancy Wallace
UC Berkeley – Haas School of Business
Energy and default risk in commercial mortgages
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The link between energy and valuation

Energy directly affects Net Operating Income (NOI) used in valuation.

Key question: How much do these factors “move the needle” for NOI and default risk?

- **Energy Use Volume**
  - Electricity kWh/kW, fuel therms, etc.
  - Driven by building features, operations, climate

- **Energy Use Volatility**
  - +/- change over mortgage term
  - Driven by building operations, weather variation

- **Energy Price**
  - $/kWh, $/kW, $/therm
  - Set by rate structure

- **Energy Price Volatility**
  - +/- change over mortgage term
  - Driven by rate structure, forward price curves

Current practice does not fully account for these factors in calculation of Net Operating Income (NOI)

- Usually based on historical average cost data, if available
- Does not account for energy use and price volatility during mortgage term
The link between energy and mortgage default

Our prior empirical analysis shows energy use and electricity price have a statistically significant impact on default

- The higher the **Source EUI** (the more energy usage per square foot) the higher the likelihood of default. This also held for **Scaled Source EUI** (source EUI/NOIpsf)
- The higher the **Electricity Price Gap**, (the larger the difference between the actual and the expected electricity prices since the loan origination), the higher the likelihood of default.
### Office+MU+Retail model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Coefficient Estimate</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.00538</td>
<td>0.11067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaled Source EUI</td>
<td>0.00183***</td>
<td>0.000369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origination Loan-to-Value Ratio</td>
<td>0.00263**</td>
<td>0.00117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupon Spread to 10 Year Treasury</td>
<td>0.00751</td>
<td>0.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity Price Gap</td>
<td>0.00003**</td>
<td>0.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to Maturity on Balloon</td>
<td>-0.00203**</td>
<td>0.00068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origination Year Fixed Effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N = 339, $R^2 = 0.1768$

* $p<0.1$; ** $p<0.05$; ***$p<0.01$
## Multifamily model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Coefficient Estimate</th>
<th>Standard Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.09654</td>
<td>0.09216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaled Source EUI</td>
<td>0.00088***</td>
<td>0.00025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origination Loan-to-Value Ratio</td>
<td>0.00109</td>
<td>0.00009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original Loan Balance</td>
<td>-0.00066</td>
<td>0.00087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coupon Spread to 10 Yr. Treasury</td>
<td>0.02314</td>
<td>0.01488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electricity Price Gap</td>
<td>0.000013**</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to Maturity on Balloon</td>
<td>-0.00126***</td>
<td>0.00057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Fixed effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post crisis Year Fixed Effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Origination Year Fixed Effects</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 271, R² = .38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p<0.1; ** p<0.05; *** p<0.01
What are the impacts on specific loans?

Collaborate with lenders to:
1. Demonstrate impact of energy use and price on specific mortgage loans
2. Develop recommendations

Approach

- Compile info from Appraisals, PCAs, other sources.
- Estimate source EUI variations.
  - Simulation and empirical approaches
- Compute elec price gap using forward curves.
- Compute default risk impact due to source EUI and elec price gap.
### Default risk impacts summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building</th>
<th>Source EUI variation (%)</th>
<th>Default rate variation (bp)</th>
<th>Default rate variation relative to TREPP avg (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denver Office</td>
<td>-54% to +132%</td>
<td>-248 to +268</td>
<td>-31% to +34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sonoma Office</td>
<td>-40% to +183%</td>
<td>-161 to +331</td>
<td>-20% to +41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Jose Office</td>
<td>-62% to +119%</td>
<td>-308 to +249</td>
<td>-39% to +31%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denver Hotel</td>
<td>-11% to +17%</td>
<td>-37 to +49</td>
<td>-5% to +6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Francisco Multi-family</td>
<td>-20% to +26%</td>
<td>-72 to +74</td>
<td>-9% to +9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Wholesale price region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wholesale price region</th>
<th>Default rate variation (bp)</th>
<th>Default rate variation relative to TREPP avg (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Denver area</td>
<td>+159 to +501</td>
<td>+20% to +63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern California</td>
<td>-49 to +705</td>
<td>-6% to +88%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What is the link between commercial mortgage pricing and energy cost shocks?

With increased default risk, lender’s should price mortgages accordingly.

• How much should mortgage interest rates and points respond to energy risks?
• Are there differences between office and multifamily mortgage pricing sensitivities?
Points and Coupon Sensitivity to Source EUI Shocks

Mortgage and coupon sensitivities to shocks on scaled source EUI ($\Delta1.0\%$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Loans Type</th>
<th>Points sensitivity to 1% change in Scaled Source EUI</th>
<th>Coupon sensitivity to 1% change in Scaled Source EUI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Office Loans</td>
<td>7.71 bp</td>
<td>2.10 bp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifamily Loans</td>
<td>4.00 bp</td>
<td>0.84 bp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
What stakeholders can do now

**Lenders:**
- Ask owners to provide info on energy cost range.
  - Account for variations in energy use and energy price.
  - Could be done as part of Property Condition Assessment.
  - Can reference ASTM standard
- Incorporate energy risk factor into underwriting and terms
  - e.g. Interest rate discount for lower risk
- Offer additional loan proceeds for EE investments

**Owners:**
- Ask lenders to account for energy efficiency when setting mortgage terms.
- Provide data on energy costs to lender.
  - Historical and anticipated
  - In appraisal and/or PCA
Better Buildings is an initiative of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) designed to improve the lives of the American people by driving leadership in energy innovation. Through Better Buildings, DOE partners with leaders in the public and private sectors to make the nation’s homes, commercial buildings and industrial plants more energy efficient by accelerating investment and sharing of successful best practices.
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